An Efficient LLL Gram Using Buffered Transformations

Werner Backes and Susanne Wetzel {wbackes,swetzel}@cs.stevens.edu



Overview

- Introduction
- Preliminaries
- LLL Algorithm
 - Schnorr-Euchner
 - Gram Variant
 - Optimizations
 - Related Work
 - Results
- Conclusion/Future Work

Lattice

Definition

Let $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $k \leq n$. A **lattice** $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a discrete, additive subgroup of \mathbb{R}^n , such that

$$L = \{\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i \underline{b}_i \mid x_i \in \mathbb{Z}, i = 1, \dots, k\},\$$

where $\underline{b}_1, \underline{b}_2, \dots, \underline{b}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are linearly independent vectors.

 $B = (\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ is a **basis** of the *k*-dimensional lattice *L*.

Example



$$L_{1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} | \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = c \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + d \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}; c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$
$$L_{2} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} | \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = c \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + d \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}; c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

Lattice basis reduction: Find a basis $B' = (\underline{b}'_1, \dots, \underline{b}'_k)$ for lattice L(B) with BU = B' (U unimodular) such that the basis vectors are as short and as orthogonal to each other as possible.

Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization:

$$\underline{b}_{1}^{*} = \underline{b}_{1} \qquad \underline{b}_{i}^{*} = \underline{b}_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \mu_{ij} \underline{b}_{j}^{*} \qquad \text{for } 2 \leq i \leq k$$
$$\mu_{ij} = \frac{\langle \underline{b}_{i}, \underline{b}_{j}^{*} \rangle}{\|\underline{b}_{j}^{*}\|^{2}} \qquad \text{for } 1 \leq j < i \leq k$$

Definition (LLL-Reduction)

A basis $B = (\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_k)$ of the lattice $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *LLL-reduced* for reduction parameter $\frac{1}{4} < \delta < 1$ if the following holds:

$$|\mu_{ij}| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j < i \leq k$$
$$\|\underline{b}_{i}^{*} + \mu_{ii-1}\underline{b}_{i-1}^{*}\|^{2} \geq \delta \|\underline{b}_{i-1}^{*}\|^{2} \quad \text{for } 1 < i \leq k$$

LLL Algorithm – Schnorr-Euchner

INPUT: Lattice basis $B = (\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times k}$, δ OUTPUT: LLL-reduced lattice basis

(1) APPROX_BASIS(B', B)(2) $B_1 = \|\underline{b}_1'\|^2, i = 2$ (3) $F_c = false, F_r = false$ (4) while (i < k) do (5) $B_i = \|\underline{b}_i'\|^2$ (6) for (2 < j < i) do (7) if $(|\langle \underline{b}'_i, \underline{b}'_i \rangle| < 2^{\frac{r}{2}} ||\underline{b}'_i|| ||\underline{b}'_i||)$ then $s = APPROX_VALUE(\langle \underline{b}_i, \underline{b}_j \rangle)$ (8) (9) else (10) $s = \langle \underline{b}'_i, \underline{b}'_j \rangle$ $\mu_{ij} = (s - \sum_{m=1}^{j-1} \mu_{jm} \mu_{im} B_m) / B_j$ (11) $B_i = B_i - \mu_{ij}^2 B_j$ (12) (13) $\mu_{ii} = 1$ (14) for (i > j > 1) do (15) if $(|\mu_{ij}| > \frac{1}{2})$ then (16) $F_r = true$ if $\left(\left| \left\lceil \mu_{ij} \right\rfloor \right| > 2^{\frac{T}{2}} \right)$ then (17) (18) $F_c = true$

$$(19) \qquad \underline{b}_{i} = \underline{b}_{i} - \lceil \mu_{ij} \rfloor \underline{b}_{j}$$

$$(20) \qquad \text{for } (1 \leq m \leq j) \text{ do}$$

$$(21) \qquad \mu_{im} = \mu_{im} - \lceil \mu_{ij} \rfloor \mu_{jm}$$

$$(22) \qquad \text{if } (F_{r} = true) \text{ then}$$

$$(23) \qquad \text{APPROX_VECTOR}(\underline{b}'_{i}, \underline{b}_{i})$$

$$(24) \qquad F_{r} = false$$

$$(25) \qquad \text{if } (F_{c} = true) \text{ then}$$

$$(26) \qquad i = \max\{i - 1, 2\}$$

$$(27) \qquad F_{c} = false$$

$$(28) \qquad \text{else}$$

$$(29) \qquad \text{if } (B_{i} < (\delta - \mu_{ii-1}^{2})B_{i-1}) \text{ then}$$

$$(30) \qquad \text{SWAP}(\underline{b}_{i-1}, \underline{b}_{i})$$

$$(31) \qquad \text{if } (i = 2) \text{ then}$$

$$(32) \qquad B_{1} = ||\underline{b}'_{1}||^{2}$$

$$(33) \qquad i = \max\{i - 1, 2\}$$

$$(34) \qquad \text{else}$$

$$(35) \qquad i = i + 1$$

exact scalar products, step-backs, reduction steps, swaps

LLL Algorithm – Correction Steps



Correction steps (exact scalar products and step-backs) affect running time

Exact scalar products have bigger impact.

LLL Algorithm – Gram Matrix

Definition

For a lattice *L* with basis $B = (\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, the corresponding Gram matrix *G* is defined as $G = B^T B$.

Gram matrix is the matrix of scalar products, therefore symmetric

Advantage:

Avoid expensive exact scalar products.

Problem:

Gram matrix not of interest: update of Gram matrix and lattice basis necessary.

LLL Algorithm – Gram Variant

INPUT: Lattice basis $B = (\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times k}$, δ

OUTPUT: LLL-reduced lattice basis

- (1) COMPUTE GRAM(A, B)(2) APPROX BASIS GRAM(A', A)(3) $R_{11} = A'_{11}, i = 2$ (4) $F_c = false, F_r = false$ (5) while (i < k) do (6) $S_1 = R_{ii}$ (7) for (2 < j < i) do $R_{ij} = A'_{ji} - \sum_{m=1}^{j-1} R_{im} \mu_{im}$ (8) $\mu_{ij} = \frac{\pi_{ij}}{R_{ij}}$ (9) (10) $R_{ii} = R_{ii} - R_{ij}\mu_{ij}$ (11) $S_{i+1} = R_{ii}$ (12) $\mu_{ii} = 1$ for (i > j > 1) do (13) if $(|\mu_{i,j}| > \frac{1}{2})$ then (14) (15) $F_r = true$ if $(|\mu_{ij}| > 2^{\frac{T}{2}})$ then (16) (17) $F_c = true$ (18) $b_i = b_i - [\mu_{ij} | b_j]$ REDUCE_GRAM $(A, i, \lceil \mu_{ij} \rceil, j)$ (19)
- (20) for (1 < m < j) do (21) $\mu_{im} = \mu_{im} - \left[\mu_{ii} \right] \mu_{im}$ (22) if $(F_r = true)$ then (23) APPROX VECTOR GRAM(A', A, i)(24) $F_r = false$ (25) if $(F_c = true)$ then (26) $i = \max(i - 1, 2)$ (27) $F_c = false$ (28) else (29) i' = iwhile $((i > 1) \land (\delta \cdot R_{(i-1)(i-1)} > S_{i-1}))$ do (30) (31) $SWAP(b_i, b_{i-1})$ (32) SWAP_GRAM(A, i - 1, i)(33) i = i - 1if $(i \neq i')$ then (34) if (i = 1) then (35) $R_{11} = A'_{11}, i = 2$ (36) (37) else (38) i = i + 1

LLL Algorithm – Gram Variant



Algorithm: REDUCE_GRAM($A, l, \lceil \mu_{ij} \rfloor, j$)

INPUT: Gram matrix A, indices $l, j, \lceil \mu_{ij} \rfloor$ OUTPUT: Gram matrix A

(1) $T = A_{l,l} - 2 \cdot \lceil \mu_{ij} \rfloor \cdot A_{j,l} - \lceil \mu_{ij} \rfloor^2 \cdot A_{j,j}$ (2) for $(1 \le m < j)$ do (3) $A_{m,l} = A_{m,l} - \lceil \mu_{ij} \rfloor \cdot A_{m,j}$ (4) for $(j \le m < l)$ do (5) $A_{m,l} = A_{m,l} - \lceil \mu_{ij} \rfloor \cdot A_{j,m}$ (6) for $(l + 1 \le m < k)$ do (7) $A_{l,m} = A_{l,m} - \lceil \mu_{ij} \rfloor \cdot A_{j,m}$ (8) $A_{l,l} = T$

LLL Algorithm – Optimizations (1)

1. Buffer transformation to lattice basis using machine integers

Algorithm: BUFFERED_TRANSFORM($B, i, \lceil \mu_{ij} \rfloor, j$)

INPUT: Lattice Basis $B = (\underline{b}_1, \dots, \underline{b}_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n \times k}$, indices $i, j, \lceil \mu_{ij} \rfloor$ OUTPUT: Lattice Basis B

(1) if
$$((Tmax_i + |\lceil \mu_{ij} \rfloor | Tmax_j) \ge 2^{m-1})$$
 then
(2) for $(pos_{min} \le x \le pos_{max})$ do
(3) for $(1 \le z \le n)$ do
(4) $B'_{xz} = 0$
(5) for $(pos_{min} \le y \le pos_{max})$ do
(6) for $(1 \le z \le n)$ do
(7) $B'_{xz} = B'_{xz} + T_{xy} \cdot B_{yz}$
(8) $B \leftrightarrow B'$
(9) $T = I_n$
(10) $Tmax = (1, ..., 1)^T$
(11) $pos_{max} = i$
(12) $pos_{min} = j$

(13) if $(|\lceil \mu_{ij} \rfloor| > 2^{m-1} - 1)$ then (14) $\underline{b}_i = \underline{b}_i - \lceil \mu_{ij} \rfloor \cdot \underline{b}_j$ (15) return (16) $\underline{t}_i = \underline{t}_i - \lceil \mu_{ij} \rfloor \cdot \underline{t}_j$ (17) $Tmax_i = Tmax_i + |\lceil \mu_{ij} \rfloor| \cdot Tmax_j$ (18) if $(pos_{max} < i)$ then (19) $pos_{max} = i$ (20) if $(pos_{min} > j)$ then

$$21) \quad pos_{min} = j$$

LLL Algorithm – Optimizations (2)

Avoid expensive operations (Multiplications)
 Vectorization (Multimedia Streaming Extensions)

(7) if
$$(T_{xy} \neq 0)$$
 then
(8) if $(T_{xy} = 1)$ then
(9) for $(1 \le z \le n)$ do
(10) $B'_{xz} = B'_{xz} + B_{yz}$
(11) else
(12) if $(T_{xy} = -1)$ then
(13) for $(1 \le z \le n)$ do
(14) $B'_{xz} = B'_{xz} - B_{yz}$
(15) else
(16) for $(1 \le z \le n)$ do
(17) $B'_{xz} = B'_{xz} + T_{xy} \cdot B_{yz}$

. . .

. . .

(16) for $(1 \le l \le n; l+=4)$ do (17) $T_{i,l} = T_{i,l} - \lceil \mu_{ij}
floor \cdot T_{j,l}$ (18) $T_{i,l+1} = T_{i,l+1} - \lceil \mu_{ij}
floor \cdot T_{j,l+1}$ (19) $T_{i,l+2} = T_{i,l+2} - \lceil \mu_{ij}
floor \cdot T_{j,l+2}$ (20) $T_{i,l+3} = T_{i,l+3} - \lceil \mu_{ij}
floor \cdot T_{j,l+3}$

. . .

. . .

LLL Algorithm – Related Work

NTL (by Victor Shoup)

- based on Schnorr-Euchner, with lots of improvements.
- modified heuristic to reduce number of exact scalar products

fpLLL (by Damien Stehlé and Phong Nguyen)

- uses Gram matrix
- new (δ, η) reduction condition with $\delta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $\eta > \frac{1}{2}$
- provable, but weaker than original LLL ($\eta = 0.5$)

Segment LLL-Reduction (by Schnorr Group)

- weaker reduction condition
- Householder orthogonalization

LLL Algorithm – Results (1)

Lower triangular matrices U_j , upper triangular matrices V_j with $1 \le j \le 2$, permutation matrices P_i for $1 \le i \le 4$, create three types of unimodular lattice bases:

- $M_1: B = (U_1 \cdot V_1)$
- $M_2: B = (U_1P_1 \cdot V_1P_2)$
- $M_3: B = (U_1P_1 \cdot V_1P_2) \cdot (V_2P_3 \cdot U_2P_4)$

Test Parameter:

- 1000 lattice bases for each type and dimension $5, 10, 15, \ldots, 100$
- maximal bit length of lattice basis entries is 500

LLL Algorithm – Results (2)

Test Setup:

- LLL_FP from NTL 5.4 (by Victor Shoup)
- proved variant for fpLLL 1.3 with $\eta = 0.51$ (by Damien Stehlé and Phong Nguyen)
- xLiDIA implementation (modified, stripped down version of LiDIA)
- GCC 4.1, GMP 4.2.1 with additional AMD64 patch (by Pierrick Gaudry)

Test System:

Test System: Sun X4100 Server, AMD Opteron 2.2 GHz and 4GB RAM, Solaris 10

LLL Algorithm – Results (3)



LLL Algorithm – Results (4)



LLL Algorithm – Results (5)



LLL Gram with and without buffered transformations.

LLL Algorithm – Results (6)



fast and heuristic variant of fpLLL fails for small bases (dimension 10 with entries of maximum bit length of 100 bits)

Conclusion/Future Work

Conclusion

- Our implementation outperforms NTL and fpLLL
- Buffered transformation offer massive runtime improvement
- Keep original LLL condition (compared to fpLLL)
- Improved stability (compared to NTL)

Future Work

- Parallel version (multi-core CPUs)
- Extend use of machine-type doubles

References

References

- [1] W. Backes and S. Wetzel. Heuristics on Lattice Basis Reduction in Practice. ACM Journal on Experimental Algorithms, 7, 2002.
- [2] A. Lenstra, H. Lenstra, and L. Lovász. Factoring Polynomials with Rational Coefficients. *Math. Ann.*, 261:515–534, 1982.
- [3] P. Nguyen and D. Stehlé. Floating-Point LLL Revisited. In *Proceedings of Eurocrypt 2005*, volume 3494 of *LNCS*, pages 215–233. Springer, 2005.
- [4] C. Schnorr and M. Euchner. Lattice Basis Reduction: Improved Practical Algorithms and Solving Subset Sum Problems. In *Proceedings of Fundamentals of Computation Theory '91*, volume 529 of *LNCS*, pages 68–85. Springer, 1991.
- [5] D. Stehlé. The New LLL Routine in the Magma Computational Algebra System. Magma 2006 Conference. http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/Magma2006/.
- [6] S. Wetzel. Lattice Basis Reduction Algorithms and their Applications. PhD thesis, Universität des Saarlandes, 1998.
- [7] fpLLL Homepage (Damien Stehlé), July 2007. http://www.loria.fr/~stehle/.
- [8] AMD64 patch for GMP 4.2, July 2007. http://www.loria.fr/~gaudry/mpn_AMD64/index.html.
- [9] NTL Homepage, July 2007. http://www.shoup.net/ntl/.